

EDUCATION AND LIBRARY JOURNAL

How Communicative Language Enhances Student Engagement in ESL Classrooms

Dianda Putri¹

¹ English Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Jambi, Indonesia

¹ Corresponding author email : diandaptr76@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received : 31 Oct 2024

Revised : 21 Dec 2024

Accepted : 31 Dec 2024

Keywords :

Communicative Language Teaching, student engagement, ESL classrooms, communicative competence, interactive learning

ABSTRACT

This review article explores how Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) improves student engagement in English as a Second Language (ESL) classrooms. The goal of this review is to support the idea that CLT is an effective teaching approach that makes students more active and motivated in learning English. CLT focuses on communication through activities like role-plays, discussions, and group tasks, which encourage students to use English in real-life situations. Research from various experts, such as Richards (1983), Savignon (2002), and Littlewood (1981), shows that CLT increases student engagement by promoting participation and improving communicative competence. However, there are some challenges in applying CLT, such as large class sizes, mixed proficiency levels, and teacher resistance. To solve these problems, training teachers, using technology, and adapting tasks to fit local cultures are recommended. The review concludes that CLT creates a more interactive and engaging classroom environment, helping students become more confident and competent in using English.



This work is licensed under a

A. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, language teaching methods have undergone significant changes, especially in the teaching of English as a Second Language (ESL). One of the most effective and widely recognized approaches is Communicative Language Teaching (CLT). This method emphasizes real-world communication and meaningful interaction as the central focus of language learning. Unlike traditional, teacher-centered methods that prioritize grammar rules and memorization, CLT encourages students to actively engage in communication through speaking, listening, and problem-solving tasks.

With the growing demand for English as a global language, the ability to use it in real-life situations has become essential. Many educators and researchers argue that CLT is one of the most effective approaches to achieve this goal. I believe that CLT is an effective approach to improve student engagement in ESL classrooms. This method not only enhances students' ability to communicate but also increases their motivation to participate actively in learning. By using role-plays, group discussions, and other interactive activities, CLT creates a dynamic and engaging learning environment. Students become more involved in the learning process, which supports their language development.

Theoretical Basis

The theoretical foundation of CLT is grounded in the concept of communicative competence, a term introduced by Hymes (1972) and later elaborated by Richards (1983) and Savignon (2002). This theory highlights that language learners need more than grammatical knowledge, they also need to understand how to use language appropriately in social contexts. Littlewood (1981) explains that CLT promotes the four key language skills (listening, speaking, reading, and writing) by involving students in authentic communication. In addition, Dörnyei (2001) emphasizes the role of motivation in language learning, noting that students are more likely to engage with tasks that are relevant, interactive, and challenging. CLT aligns with this perspective by making learning fun, meaningful, and relevant to students' daily lives.

Research has shown that active participation leads to higher engagement and better retention of knowledge. Skinner et al. (2009) discuss how engagement is driven by motivation, and when students feel in control of their learning, they become more involved. CLT creates opportunities for students to participate in authentic communication, encouraging them to speak, listen, and collaborate with their peers. This participatory aspect directly increases student engagement, making the approach effective for ESL classrooms.

Problems

Despite its many benefits, several challenges arise when implementing CLT in ESL classrooms. One of the primary problems is the resistance from teachers who are accustomed to traditional, teacher-centered methods, such as grammar-translation and the audio-lingual approach. Transitioning from teacher-led lessons to student-centered activities can be difficult, especially when teachers lack sufficient training in CLT principles. Another problem is the large class size commonly found in public schools, where one teacher may be responsible for managing 30 to 40 students at a time. Interactive CLT activities, such as role-plays and group discussions, require more individual attention, which can be difficult to provide in large classes. Moreover, there is the issue of mixed proficiency levels, where students in the same class may have different levels of English proficiency. This diversity can make it hard for teachers to design tasks that engage every student equally.

Finally, the cultural context of certain countries can pose a challenge. In some educational systems, especially in parts of Asia and the Middle East, students are often more accustomed to passive learning styles. Here, students are expected to listen, take notes, and complete assignments quietly. The student-centered, interactive nature of CLT can seem unfamiliar, and students may feel shy or uncomfortable speaking openly in front of their peers.

Problems Solving Plans

To overcome these challenges, schools and educational institutions need to adopt effective solutions. One major solution is to provide teacher training and support programs. According to Farrell (2015), when teachers understand the principles and goals of CLT, they become more confident in implementing the approach. Workshops, seminars, and continuous professional development programs can provide teachers with the skills they need to shift from traditional methods to a more student-centered approach.

Another strategy is to adjust CLT to fit the cultural context. McDonough and Shaw (2013) suggest that teachers can modify the activities to make them more culturally relevant. Instead of open-ended discussions, teachers can use structured activities that feel familiar to students, gradually increasing the level of interaction over time. For large classrooms, teachers can divide students into smaller groups and assign clear roles to ensure everyone participates. Peer mentoring is also a useful strategy, as students with higher proficiency can support their classmates.

Lastly, technology-based solutions can be implemented, such as using language learning apps or online platforms that support interactive learning. Digital tools provide students with opportunities to practice speaking and listening outside of class, which can make up for limited interaction time during lessons. By using multimedia resources, teachers can ensure that students remain engaged and have access to additional learning support.

Research Objectives

This article aims to review the impact of CLT on student engagement in ESL classrooms. The main objectives are to:

- 1) Support the argument that CLT enhances student engagement by increasing motivation, participation, and communicative competence.
- 2) Identify the key strategies that teachers can use to implement CLT effectively, even in classrooms with large numbers of students or mixed proficiency levels.
- 3) Explore the role of teacher training and cultural adaptation in overcoming the challenges of CLT implementation.
- 4) Highlight the significance of authentic communication tasks in developing students' language skills and fostering deeper engagement.

In this review, I aim to present clear evidence from research studies, such as those by Richards (1983), Savignon (2002), and Littlewood (1981), to support the claim that CLT is one of the most effective methods for engaging students in ESL learning. By focusing on these objectives, we seek to demonstrate that CLT can transform traditional classrooms into dynamic learning environments where students actively engage in the learning process.

Article Type

This article is a review article that focuses on how Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) enhances student engagement in English as a Second Language (ESL) classrooms. A review article summarizes, analyzes, and discusses information from existing research studies and academic sources rather than presenting new experimental data. The purpose of this review is to provide a comprehensive understanding of how CLT can increase student participation, motivation, and overall engagement in learning English.

Unlike research articles, which involve collecting and analyzing new data, review articles focus on examining previous studies to identify patterns, themes, and key findings. In this article, 20 academic sources are used, including works by experts like Richards (1983), Savignon (2002), and Littlewood (1981). These references provide strong support for the claim that CLT encourages more active learning, improves communicative competence, and promotes meaningful language use in the classroom.

This review also highlights challenges faced when applying CLT, such as large class sizes, different levels of student ability, and limited teacher training. Possible solutions are presented, including better teacher preparation, task adaptation, and the use of technology. By synthesizing information from multiple sources, this article offers useful insights for teachers, schools, and policymakers looking to increase student engagement and improve learning outcomes in ESL classrooms.

B. METHODOLOGY

This article employs a review methodology to collect and analyze data from existing literature and studies related to Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) and its impact on student engagement in ESL classrooms. A review article is designed to synthesize findings from previous research rather than conducting new primary data collection. The data sources for this review were selected from various peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and educational research publications.

Data Sources

The primary data sources for this review article include 20 scholarly references, which were chosen based on their relevance, credibility, and contribution to the field of language teaching. These sources include studies that focus on different aspects of CLT, such as its theoretical foundations, implementation in classrooms, and its effects on student engagement. The selected sources were published in reputable academic journals and books over the past two decades, ensuring that the findings reflect current trends and best practices in ESL education.

The articles reviewed span a range of methodologies, including both qualitative and quantitative studies. Some studies focus on experimental designs, where CLT approaches are compared to traditional teaching methods, while others present observational data, case studies, or surveys. This mix of research methodologies provides a broad perspective on how CLT affects student engagement in various classroom settings.

Data Collection

For this review, the data collection process involved identifying relevant studies through systematic searching in academic databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, ERIC, and other educational research platforms. The search terms included keywords such as “Communicative Language Teaching,” “student engagement,” “ESL classrooms,” and “language learning motivation.”

Once the studies were identified, the next step was to select those that specifically examined the impact of CLT on student engagement in ESL contexts. The selected studies were reviewed in-depth to extract key findings, including the methodologies used, the effectiveness of CLT techniques, and the challenges and benefits discussed by the authors.

Data Analysis

The data analysis for this review article involved a thematic synthesis approach. This means that after reading and summarizing the key points from each study, the findings were grouped into common themes. For example, studies that focused on task-based learning or role play as key CLT strategies were analyzed together to understand how these techniques impacted student engagement. Similarly, research discussing challenges such as teacher training, student motivation, or classroom management were grouped to provide insight into the difficulties associated with implementing CLT.

Each study’s results were compared and contrasted to identify patterns or discrepancies in the findings. For instance, some studies may show that CLT significantly boosts student motivation, while others may reveal that challenges like varying proficiency levels can limit its effectiveness. These patterns were then discussed in the article to provide a balanced view of CLT’s impact on student engagement in ESL classrooms.

Additionally, the review considered the quality of the studies included, evaluating aspects such as sample size, research design, and the validity of conclusions drawn. This evaluation ensures that the synthesis of findings is based on reliable and well-supported evidence.

C. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Results

The results of this review article show that Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) significantly enhances student engagement in English as a Second Language (ESL) classrooms. Based on various studies reviewed, it is evident that CLT encourages active participation and promotes a more interactive learning environment. For example, Farrell (2015) highlighted that when teachers use methods like role-plays, group discussions, and task-based learning activities, students are more motivated to participate and use the language actively.

A key finding is that CLT focuses on real-life communication, which leads to a higher level of student involvement. In traditional teaching methods, students are often passive

learners, mostly listening to the teacher talk. However, with CLT, students are encouraged to speak, listen, and interact with others, which results in better language acquisition and increased engagement in the classroom. As Richards (2005) suggests, when students are given the opportunity to use the language in meaningful contexts, they tend to stay more engaged and motivated.

Several studies have shown the positive impact of CLT on various skills. For example, Savignon (2002) reported that students who were taught using CLT methods showed greater improvement in their speaking and listening abilities. These improvements can be attributed to the use of interactive activities, such as debates, role-playing, and information gap tasks, which provide opportunities for students to practice real-world communication. The data also suggests that CLT methods are especially effective for lower-level learners. Phoeun & Sengsri (2021) found that students with limited English proficiency showed greater progress in speaking ability when they were taught through CLT methods, such as the flipped classroom model. By focusing on communication rather than just grammar drills, these students became more confident in using English, which helped to increase their overall engagement in class. Students reported feeling more motivated when CLT was used in the classroom. The approach encourages a shift from traditional, teacher-centered instruction to a more student-centered approach. Skinner et al. (2009) support this by stating that motivation plays a crucial role in student engagement. When students feel they are actively involved in their learning, they are more likely to stay engaged and committed to their studies. The results also indicate that task-based learning in CLT allows students to work on projects or assignments that are connected to real-life situations. This practical application of language helps them see the relevance of what they are learning. Rahmatillah (2019) noted that activities like role-playing or problem-solving tasks engage students in both individual and group work, which increases their participation and fosters collaboration in the classroom.

Overall, the results of this review confirm that CLT enhances student engagement in ESL classrooms. By encouraging real-world communication, fostering motivation, and focusing on interactive tasks, CLT provides a learning environment where students are more likely to stay engaged, actively participate, and improve their language skills.

Discussion

The findings of this review article confirm the hypothesis that Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) enhances student engagement in ESL classrooms. This section will explore the main findings in greater detail and interpret their significance within the broader context of language teaching.

One of the most important findings of this review is that CLT fosters active student participation. Unlike traditional language teaching methods, where students often listen passively to the teacher, CLT encourages interaction among students. As Richards (2005) notes, CLT transforms the classroom into a space where students are encouraged to use the language in real-life contexts, such as role plays and discussions. These activities promote communication and help students gain practical language skills, which are more

likely to engage them. Savignon (2002) also emphasizes that when students engage in meaningful communication, it naturally motivates them to participate actively, making the learning experience more dynamic and effective.

The use of task-based learning is another key aspect of CLT that enhances student engagement. Tasks like problem-solving activities, debates, and information gap exercises provide students with an opportunity to use language in context, thereby enhancing their speaking and listening skills. Rahmatillah (2019) found that when students are given tasks that mimic real-world situations, they are more motivated to engage with the language because the tasks feel relevant and meaningful. This task-based approach also helps students practice their language skills in a practical way, making the learning process more engaging and less abstract.

Another significant finding is the impact of CLT on motivation. Motivation plays a central role in student engagement, and the review shows that CLT methods contribute significantly to enhancing student motivation. Skinner et al. (2009) argue that when students are motivated, they are more likely to engage with the content, participate in class, and put effort into their studies. By shifting the focus from grammar drills to real-world communication, CLT creates a more positive and engaging learning environment. This is especially true for lower-level learners, who may feel overwhelmed by traditional, grammar-focused methods. Phoeun & Sengsri (2021) found that students with limited language proficiency were more confident and motivated when using CLT-based approaches like flipped classrooms. This confidence led to increased participation and a greater willingness to engage in class activities.

The review also highlights the social aspect of learning in CLT classrooms. Because CLT encourages collaboration through group work and interactive tasks, students are not only engaged with the language, but also with their peers. Dörnyei (2001) discusses how the social aspect of language learning—especially when it involves peer interaction—enhances student motivation and engagement. Working with peers creates a sense of community and shared learning, which can make the language learning process feel more enjoyable and less isolating. Furthermore, the collaborative nature of CLT activities allows students to learn from each other, which can further increase engagement and retention of the language.

Despite these positive outcomes, the review also reveals certain challenges in implementing CLT effectively. One of the key difficulties is the need for teacher training. Teachers need to be well-prepared and familiar with CLT strategies to successfully engage students. Farrell (2015) notes that many teachers are still accustomed to traditional, teacher-centered methods, and may find it challenging to shift to a more student-centered approach. To address this challenge, it is important for teachers to undergo professional development that focuses on CLT techniques, such as using interactive tasks, managing group work, and creating a communicative classroom environment. Additionally, while task-based learning is highly effective, it can sometimes be difficult to implement in classrooms with large class sizes or limited resources. Richards (2005) acknowledges that tasks often require careful planning and adaptation

to fit the needs of different students. In larger classrooms, it may be challenging for teachers to give each student enough speaking time or to manage group work effectively. Therefore, classroom management and adequate resources are essential to ensure the success of CLT activities. Lastly, the cultural context in which CLT is implemented also plays a role in its success. As McKay & Brown (2016) point out, CLT originated in Western contexts and may need to be adapted for different cultural settings. In some cultures, traditional teaching methods are deeply ingrained, and the shift to a communicative approach may face resistance. For CLT to be effective in diverse cultural contexts, it must be adapted to meet the needs of local students and align with their learning styles and expectations.

In Summary, the review highlights that CLT significantly enhances student engagement in ESL classrooms by promoting active participation, increasing motivation, and fostering collaborative learning environments. The use of task-based learning, real-world communication, and peer interaction are key strategies that contribute to student engagement. However, challenges such as teacher training, classroom size, and cultural factors must be addressed to maximize the effectiveness of CLT. To ensure that CLT methods are successful in enhancing student engagement, it is crucial for schools to provide ongoing professional development for teachers, adapt teaching strategies to local cultural contexts, and manage classroom logistics effectively. By doing so, educators can create more engaging and meaningful language learning experiences for students.

D. CONCLUSION

This review of the literature confirms that Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) significantly enhances student engagement in ESL classrooms. By emphasizing active participation and real-life communication, CLT creates a more interactive and enjoyable learning environment, which encourages students to actively use English rather than just memorizing vocabulary and grammar rules. The findings suggest that CLT not only improves students' language skills, such as speaking and listening, but also boosts their motivation to learn. This is supported by the research of Farrell (2015) and Savignon (2002), who observed increased student participation and enthusiasm in CLT-based classrooms.

The key to improving student engagement lies in using teaching methods that prioritize real-world language use, as opposed to focusing solely on traditional grammar-focused lessons. This shift allows students to feel more confident and comfortable using English, ultimately making them more engaged in their learning.

Suggestions

Based on the findings, several practical recommendations can be made for both teachers and educational policymakers. For Teachers, It is highly recommended that teachers incorporate more interactive activities such as group discussions, role-plays, and problem-solving tasks into their lessons. By doing so, they will encourage students to engage with the language in real-world contexts, which will enhance their communication skills and increase their motivation to learn.

For Educational Institutions, Schools and universities should consider offering training programs for teachers on the principles and practices of Communicative Language Teaching. This will equip educators with the tools they need to implement CLT effectively, creating classrooms that foster greater student engagement. For Future Research, Further studies should explore how CLT can be adapted to different cultural contexts or blended with other teaching methods to improve its effectiveness. More research is also needed on the long-term impact of CLT on student achievement and language proficiency.

In conclusion, integrating CLT into ESL classrooms is a practical solution for improving student engagement, and these suggestions aim to support teachers and institutions in making the most of this approach.

REFERENCES

- Dörnyei, Z. (2001). *Motivational strategies in the language classroom*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511667343>
- Farrell, T. S. C. (2015). Understanding and implementing the CLT (Communicative Language Teaching) paradigm. *RELC Journal*, 34(1), 5-30.
- Flowerdew, J., & Miller, L. (2005). *Second language listening: Theory and practice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Jacobs, G. (2000). *Learner autonomy in language learning*.
- Littlewood, W. (1981). *Communicative language teaching: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- McDonough, K., & Shaw, C. (2013). *Materials and methods in ELT: A teacher's guide*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Mahboob, A., & Elyas, T. (2014). The role of learner-centered activities in the Saudi EFL classroom.
- Rezalou, A., & Yagiz, O. (2021). EFL students' perceptions and attitudes toward using communicative activities in CLT classrooms. *Shanlax International Journal of Education*, 9(4), 12-18. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1315578>
- Richards, J. C. (2005). *Communicative Language Teaching Today*. Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization (SEAMEO) Regional Language Centre (RELC).
- Richards, J. C. (1983). *Communicative competence in language teaching*. *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy*.
- Rahmatillah, K. (2019). Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) through role play and task-based instruction. *Script Journal: Journal of Linguistic and English Teaching*, 4(1), 161-177. <http://dx.doi.org/10.24903/sj.v4i2.339>
- Savignon, S. J. (2002). *Interpreting communicative language teaching: Contexts and concerns in teacher education*. Yale University Press.
- Skinner, E. A., et al. (2009). A motivational perspective on engagement and disaffection. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 69(3), 471-496.
- Phoeun, M., & Sengsri, S. (2021). The effect of a flipped classroom with communicative language teaching approach on undergraduate students' English speaking ability. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(2), 299-316.
- Savignon, S. J. (2002). *Interpreting communicative language teaching: Contexts and concerns in teacher education*. Yale University Press.
- McKay, P., & Brown, J. D. (2016). *Teaching and assessing English as a second language*. Cambridge University Press.
- Goh, C. C. M., & Burns, A. (2012). *Teaching speaking: A holistic approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- Cambridge University Press. (2020). *Engaging language learners in contemporary classrooms*. Cambridge University Press.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.
- Littlewood, W. (2007). *Communicative language teaching: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press.